BUILDING COMMUNISM IN THE USSR AND MATERIAL INCENTIVES

On the question of material incentive and its meaning, the building of communism, again these comrades attack the C.C. It should be realised that the C.C. does not make a detailed analysis of the economic effects of the harmful revisionist policies in the Soviet Union. Knowing that the socialist system continues to exist in the Soviet Union, it points to the danger of the restoration of a new type of capitalism because of the outlook and policy involved in capitalist incentives and ideas of personal profit.

Our comrades are not satisfied. They cite a number of instances and facts but even they do not say all this means that capitalism has been restored. They say that the revisionist leadership is actually taking steps for the restoration of capitalism; that it will definitely lead to the restoration of capitalism; but as to what exactly is happening now they also do not seem to have much concrete evidence. Beyond repeating a number of times that the present revisionist leadership has adopted bourgeois ideology, and is following a policy of collaboration on a global plane and these steps have given rise to new capitalistic elements in the countryside and towns, that the revisionist leaders represent a privileged strata, they give no evidence whatsoever about the corrosion of socialist relations of production and the depth of the penetration of capitalist relations. The reason is obvious. They have no material and they themselves do not believe that capitalism has invaded the

Soviet Union, or that it will succeed. They themselves say that the revisionist leaders are not going to succeed; that the Soviet people will preserve socialism. These are no doubt sober conclusions.

And yet they object to the document when it says, "the resort to capitalist incentives and ideas of personal profit, paves the way in the final analysis for the restoration of a new type of capitalism". They say that instead of exposing that the revisionists "are taking steps for the restoration of capitalism in the Soviet Union, that new capitalist elements have already appeared in the Soviet society, the document only says that material incentives 'in the final analysis paves the way for the restoration of a new type of capitalism', as though the danger is in the distant future and not in the present". This is a strange comment. The C.C. gives a serious warning about the danger of restoration of capitalism in the final analysis. These comrades themselves say that the revisionist leaders have not succeeded and will not succeed. Then why should they criticise the C.C. which also says that the danger has not yet fructified but may fructify in the future? And they talk of the rise of capitalist elements—and we have seen what they mean thereby. The C.C. has recognised bourgeois ideology and ideas and influence in the material incentives which open the danger to restoration of capitalism in the final analysis.