

Anti-Revisionism in Italy

Secretary-General Scuderi

The proletariat must arm themselves with Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought to become a class for itself

Published: February 1993

Transcription, Editing and Markup: Paul Saba and Sam Richards

Copyright: This work is in the Public Domain under the [Creative Commons Common Deed](#). You can freely copy, distribute and display this work; as well as make derivative and commercial works.

Please credit the Encyclopedia of Anti-Revisionism On-Line as your source, include the url to this work, and note any of the transcribers, editors & proofreaders above.

Scuderi's speech is a red flame that sets fire to the reformists and revisionists

The proletariat must arm themselves with Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought to become a class for itself

The speech of the Secretary-General partner Giovanni Scuderi held in Naples in February of 1993, is yet another demonstration of how Marxism-Leninism, Mao Zedong Thought is an irrefutable truth. If twenty years are more than enough to make it past the many and misleading theories of the bourgeoisie, it is not really that Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought! What's comrade Scuderi is a subject that seems to be written yesterday for its incredible accuracy and relevance to the present situation, pronounced twenty years ago is still a formidable key to understanding today's political and international context. Should not surprise us. Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought will never fade, it can and should always be updated and integrated but its foundations remain carriers persists capitalism, eternal and immutable.

Comrade Scuderi took this memorable speech in Naples, and this is not a case. The capital of Campania has always been at the forefront of the class struggle, both in our country and in the international context. In Naples was founded one of the first Italian sections of Marx and Engels. In 1921, the city was a center part of the fundamental split between communists and social democrats sold to the bourgeoisie. A leading role in the Naples also had in September 1943 in the heroic uprising against the Nazis and again in the 60's in the constitution of Marxist-Leninist groups.

The Party has decided to publish in *The Bolshevik* this historic speech to clarify its historic task of the proletariat and the need to become a class for itself. Persisting capitalism and with it the exploitation of man by man does not matter if they spend 20, 200 or 2000 years of history, the capitalist economic system remains unchanged as well as the rule of the bourgeoisie remains unchanged. The domination of the bourgeois class is and will always be the dictatorship of this class, the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie in fact, of all the others. The bourgeois politicians and their lackeys like to fill his mouth with the word "democracy," Well, the proletariat and the masses must realize that capitalism does not exist and will never exist democracy for all. Persisting classes it is impossible that there is freedom for all classes. In a society divided into classes one of these, the one that keeps control of the economic and political power, can not oppress the others. No, as long as there classes will be impossible to have the freedom for all. Lenin in this regard said, **"Talk about pure democracy, democracy in general, equality, freedom, universality, while the workers and all workers are hungry, ill-clad, ruined and worn out not only by the capitalist wage slavery, while the capitalists and speculators continue to hold the "ownership" coerced and the apparatus "ready" state power, make a mockery of the workers and the exploited."**

Even in the most democratic bourgeois republic is crushed and exploited the proletariat by the bourgeoisie, which maintains political power, superstructure and tool to control the economic base. The State, as well as political power, can not be, by their very nature, neutral powers. Like the rest of a class could maintain control over the other, so as to preserve their dastardly and cowardly privileges? As an exploiter could maintain its dominance over the exploited? What is the instrument with which the bourgeoisie controls the proletariat? For a true Marxist-Leninist there can be no doubt about it, this is an instrument of class rule of the bourgeois state. Lenin said, **"or the state, even in the most democratic republic, it is only a machine of oppression of one class over another class."** The politicians, philosophers and scientists bourgeois really have a nice busy in filling his mouth with hosannas hypocritical bourgeois democracy!

Today's bourgeois democracy is perhaps the reign of bonanza? No, it is not. It is not for the unemployed, laid off, the under-employed, students, housewives and all those who are exploited and oppressed by the bourgeoisie dominant champion in filling his mouth with formal rights but always ready to contain and suppress even the substantive rights of the masses. We can still quote the great teacher of the international proletariat, Lenin, who, with his immortal words brought to light the contradictions of bourgeois democracy, **"This democracy is always compressed in the narrow framework of capitalist exploitation, and remains, after all, a democracy for the minority, only for the propertied classes, only for the wealthy Freedom in capitalist society always remains roughly what it was in ancient Greek republics: freedom for the slave owners."**

At the moment, thanks to the great deception of the revisionists and reformists, the proletariat is only one class in itself, a concrete class that actually exists, but a class that has no conscience of its own role and their own culture. A class without political consciousness and no awareness of their historical role is to conquer political power and establish socialism.

A critical assessment of the history of the labor movement in order to understand the mistakes made

Each analysis, as well as any action plan, must start from what has been done so far. You can not understand the present and plan for the future without having in mind what happened in the past. Within this context, the companion Giovanni Scuderi, a true master Marxist-

Leninist, explain simply and clearly what is the history of the labor movement nationally and internationally, highlighting the key points to understand and keep in mind. Historians and scientists of the bourgeoisie, the barons in the service of capitalism that bestows them rich pickings, are champions nell'imbrogliare cards and confuse the masses. How many volumes full of lies have been published and how many stories are taught in schools and universities in the history of the labor movement, "USSR of Lenin and Stalin and Mao's China. How many infamous lies about socialism itself that is red and represents the future of the proletariat! All this is strange? No, it is not, and should not surprise us. As Chairman Mao once said: **"In a class society everyone lives as a member of a particular class, and every thought, without exception, carries a whiff of class."** In a society divided into classes, and without our doubt it is, it does not exist and can therefore never be a shared truth as there can never be one single culture.

If we are going to study the history of the labor movement as the first thing we must keep in mind that there are two schools, that of the bourgeoisie and the proletariat. The key issue is to draw from the school of the proletariat and not from that of the bourgeoisie! When we read a book or simply a newspaper article we must always be fully aware that such book, or article, or was written by the bourgeoisie and its servants or by the proletariat. Does not exist and will never exist a shared history or culture! Even the last of the items in a small local newspaper carries a "footprint" of class and contains within it the contradictions of society. The culture of the proletariat, or the school of the proletariat, what teaches us about the mistakes of the past? Why socialism has been temporarily defeated, and the masses are catapulted into an era pre-Marxist? If we define the invincible Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought as it is possible to explain the setbacks?

The Scuderi companion in his speech gives us a red key to addressing the problem. Marxism-Leninism has never been defeated? Scuderi in his speech, analyzes with firm clarity the events, errors, and responsibilities. No snagging idealist, no myth, no apology. As Chairman Mao had to say: **"We do not believe in nothing if not science, this means that you should not have myths. For both Chinese and foreigners, whether alive or dead, what is right is right, what is wrong is wrong, otherwise there is the myth. "**

History teaches us that, except for Cambodia never any external enemy was able to be right in a socialist state. Anti-Bolshevik crusade, the Nazis, the American imperialists and their lackeys, nuclear threat and economic boycott: none of this has ever scratched Marxism-Leninism! If you are not an outside enemy could do, in the historical moment we are living in, get the better of socialism? Giovanni Scuderi, once again, is our guide red grasping the crux of the problem.

The proletariat and the masses, since the establishment of the First International, have been deceived and exploited by anarchists and "leftists" and reformists and revisionists. Deviators left the first, of the second right. Two different "bands" but they have always played the same music: that of the bourgeoisie.

Whenever the proletariat, explains Scuderi, was organized and came to conquer the political power of the bourgeoisie agents, disguised as revolutionaries, they tried to take hegemony. Including that from the outside, and the history shows, it is not possible (except, as we have seen, for Cambodia) to drop a socialist state, the bourgeoisie has continued its insidious action of poisoning from the inside.

The Nazis could not have nothing against the Soviet Union. Not so the revisionist Khrushchev who, taking advantage of the difficult time following the death of Stalin, has betrayed Marxism-Leninism, from the Twentieth Congress of the CPSU. Chairman Mao denounced him immediately, as he alleged that the Soviet Union was changing colour. Mao in a famous speech he said: **"I think there are two swords: one is Lenin and the other Stalin. Now, this sword is that Stalin, the Russians have abandoned. As for this sword is that Lenin, today has not been abandoned, perhaps also, to some extent, by some Soviet leaders? In my view, it has been abandoned to a considerable extent. It is still valid, the October Revolution? Can still serve as an example to other countries? The report of Khrushchev at the XX Congress of the CPSU says that you can get political power through the parliamentary way; ie it is no longer necessary for other countries to follow the example of the October Revolution. Once you open this gap, essentially threw away the Leninism. "**

The speech of Mao, rightly says Scuderi, was almost ignored. Basically no manager "communist" said Mao was right and followed him in his struggle against revisionism. The Togliatti and Cossutta have deceived the proletariat inculcating them the poison of revisionism and reformism. How many militants in honest good faith were ideologically contaminated and have been transformed into bourgeois unconscious. The action morally harmful and corrupting of over one hundred years of the revisionists disguised as communists did regress the political consciousness of the proletariat which, in many respects, is plunged back into a situation pre-Marxist.

What should you do today, the proletariat to become a class for itself

The proletariat as the first thing is to regain possession of their culture, which is Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought. The proletariat must carry out their own emancipation intellectual, ideological, cultural by the bourgeoisie. Strong invincible Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought, the proletariat has a deadly weapon with which to strike the bourgeoisie and with which to conquer socialism. Immortal is the quote from Lenin about it: **"Without revolutionary theory there is no revolutionary movement."**

Marxism-Leninism-Mao Zedong Thought reveals, in the eyes of the proletariat, the class nature of the state. The state, whether it is a feudal state, a bourgeois state or a socialist state is the institutional superstructure of the military and its economic base. The state can not be an instrument of domination, a construction in which one class dominates the other. It is therefore possible that within a state, within a building of oppression, will be the healthy forces that make the interests of the exploited classes? A slave can make the interest of the slaves? No, this is not possible.

Today, and this is confirmed as the vision of the Scuderi speech that seems really pronounced yesterday, this is one of the main poisoning of the proletariat believe that with the reformist path and institutional backing politically and with the vote the bourgeois state, it is possible to change the 'order of things. Within the bourgeois state, as well as in the bourgeois parliament, there are no forces or revolutionary parties that challenge capitalism and the exploitation of man by man. There are no continues Scuderi, third ways: either capitalism or socialism! O is the property of the working class or of the Lambs and Marchionne. The proletariat wants to be emancipated from slavery? If you want to do what needs to overthrow the rule of the bourgeoisie! With the bourgeoisie are not possible compromises or agreements. These, even if made, are nothing but the compromises that the bourgeoisie use at your own convenience good to keep the masses waiting for the right moment to clear all of its concessions. What

became of the bourgeois constitution of 1948? It, a mere compromise (which incidentally ratified the power of the bourgeois ruling class and the exploitation of man by man) between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie, it is not perhaps been torn by the bourgeoisie in black shirt? What happened to the rights won by the labor movement with years of struggle? The reconciliation between the classes has produced, and maintained, some concrete results for the benefit of the masses? No, this has not happened. Stalin in this regard said, **"The proletariat can not achieve socialism through conciliation with the bourgeoisie: it must be to take the path of struggle, and this struggle must be the class struggle, the struggle of the entire proletariat against the entire bourgeoisie . Or the bourgeoisie with its capitalism, or the proletariat with his socialism! Here's what has to be based on the action of the proletariat, the class struggle. "**

What is needed, he says rightly Comrade Scuderi recovering what was stated by the teachers Marx and Engels, is to sweep away capitalism and give the power to the working class. The problem is not then delete this or quell l'oppressore. The Hitler and Mussolini, as well as Berlusconi and Marchionne does not fall from the sky. They are the inevitable product of the capitalist economic system. There is no use and is in fact counterproductive for the proletariat to carry out actions individualist anarchist mould to remove the individual. The class struggle is a struggle that must be waged openly by the masses to the edge where there is the working class. The class struggle is the only way in which the proletariat will be able to get rid of their chains. Stalin said, **"the victory of the proletariat will at the same time domain over the bourgeoisie won, because, during the clash of classes, the defeat of a class means the domain of the other, the first stage of the socialist revolution will be the political domination of the proletariat over the bourgeoisie."**

As Marx and Engels, who were only two, were able to pierce the darkness of the middle class by turning the red flame of socialism so each of us can and must be transformed into a red pioneer, although today the revolution no longer has the wind in its sails and the is less sensitive to the proletariat and the revolutionary struggle for socialism. Our task is to understand that the proletariat with political power has it all and no political power has nothing.

The PMLI, in 1993 as today, is the only true reference genuine Marxist-Leninist party, the only party on the side of the proletariat and the masses of the oppressed. As he wrote Comrade Scuderi, the proletariat must be aware that only socialism can change Italy and give political power to the proletariat. This is the critical step to ensure that all changes ideologically, politically and organizationally in the Italian proletariat and the entire left office, and then the ratio of the revolutionary masses with PMLI. Let us recall in this connection the famous statement of Chairman Mao that is and will always be the watchword of every true revolutionary: **"Who is not afraid of dying from a thousand wounds dares to unseat the emperor."**

June 18, 2014