

12233

4/14/78 Berkeley --N&L

TODAY'S GLOBAL CRISIS, MARX'S CAPITAL AND THE EPIGONES WHO TRY TO TRUNCATE IT

Intro.

April is a magnificent month to grapple with 2 MAMMOTH CONTRADICTIONS and (1) Lenin's NEW CONTINENT OF THOUGHT

inherent in the topic to day --

not only because it will manifest the CONTEMPORANEITY of an 111 yr/ or 106 yr. (depending on whether you're thinking of 1867 or 1872 editions)

of the fatal consequences that flow for the who separated economics from philosophy from SUBJECT first seen by VIL... BUT BECAUSE OF the collapse of the 2nd Int. return to Marx's roots in Hegelian dialectic... fully understood... esp. Ch. 1

re-interpretation of it as "arming the Party & by Party a s question of putting CONQUEST OF POWER--& it certainly is both

BUT WHAT IT IS EVEN MORE AND THAT HAS NOT BEEN GRAPPLED WITH IS THE

BREAK WITH ALL OTHER IMPERIALISM

SUBI--1916 Irish Revolt SPONTANEOUS RECREATION

SONIETS

Sp it is nothing as simple as "strategy" much less "tactics" & "uncanny intuition"

AS WE SHALL SEE WHEN we place what may sound as a cliché: TODAY WILL BE YESTERDAY TOMORROW not only in Marx-Lenin historic framework, but ours 1968 ROMAN ROSDOLSKY & EM'S preface to CAPITAL WITH this fantastic concept of commodity

SO WHAT DID VIL SEE SUDDENLY IN CH. I OF CAPITAL & HOW DID IT HELP MAKE HISTORY IN 1917

Difference in STRUCTURE, i.e., DAILECTIC, NOTIONAL CONTENT, not althusserian structuralism

limitations and INDISPENSABILITY of theoretician.

Without JPP Q P R P... Post-Marx Analysis... Singling out specific... RL - VIL =

low also became fatal...

A

re-interpretation

BREAK WITH ALL OTHER IMPERIALISM

SONIETS

B

to meet...

At the same time

449 Post-Marx's Marx... VIL's Dialectic... VIL's Subject

for the founder...

RL - VIL =

Singling out specific...

12233

4/14 Berkeley --N&L

TODAY'S GLOBAL CRISIS, MARX'S CAPITAL AND THE EPIGONES WHO TRY TO TRUNCATE IT

Introd.

April is a magnificent month to grapple with 2 MAMMOTH CONTRADICTIONS and GENIUS'S NEW CONTINENT OF THOUGHT

inherent in the topic to day --

not only because it will manifest the CONTEMPORANEITY of an 111 yr/or 106 yr. (depending on whether you're thinking of 1867 or 1872 editions)

of the fatal consequences that flow for philosophy from SUBJECT, but BECAUSE OF return to Marx's roots in Hegelian dialectic as he wrote: "No Marxist... fully understood... esp. Ch.1"

re-interpretation of it as "arming the Party & by Party a s question of putting CONQUEST OF POWER--& it certainly is both

BUT WHAT IT IS EVEN MORE AND THAT HAS NOT BEEN GRAPPLED WITH IS

BREAK WITH ALL OTHER IMPERIALISM

SUBJ--1916 Irish Revolt + SPONTANEOUS RECREATION

OF SOVIETS

Sp it is nothing as simple as "strategy" much less "tactics" & "uncanny intuition"

AS WE SHALL SEE WHEN we place what may sound as a cliché: TODAY WILL BE YESTERDAY TOMORROW not only in Marx-Lenin historic framework, but ours 1968 ROMAN ROSENBLAT'S PREFACE TO CAPITAL WITH this-fantastic concept of commodity

SO WHAT DID VIL SEE SUDDENLY IN CH.I OF CAPITAL & HOW DID IT HELP MAKE HISTORY IN 1917

Differences in STRUCTURE, i.e., DAILECTIC, NOTIONAL CONTENT, (not Althusserian structuralism)

At the same time

limitations and INDISPENSABILITY of theoretician.

Without

***** Post-Marx Marxism *****
***** New c. of debate, Marxist CAP Vol. I *****
***** Singling out specific Dialectic *****

low also because of details...

Handwritten notes on the left side, including "April 1917" and "1916 Irish Revolt".

Handwritten notes: "if they bump over..."

Handwritten circled letters "S R"

Handwritten notes: "1968 Roman Rosenblat's Preface to Capital"

Handwritten notes: "1916 Irish Revolt"

Handwritten notes: "442 Post-Marx Marxism"

Handwritten notes: "RL - VIL - Singling out specific Dialectic"

Involvement of W.I. + H. + Q.M.²
I. Involvement of W.I. + H. + Q.M.²
II. Involvement of W.I. + H. + Q.M.²
III. Involvement of W.I. + H. + Q.M.²

IF ~~you~~ dialectically named, WHETHER YOU ARE AS
great as RL or as picayune as TC, or as hep with "facts" for our day
as the Sreezy's & EM.

INCL. "knowing" STATE CAPITALISM, there is no place
for you to go but

to talent Keynes to Lukacs, as we shall see 1943

that is to say, when you try to overcome CRISIS sans subject, you of necessity
cannot ~~find~~ new counter-revolution, tho that be "only" in thought.

RL dialectically named "recession" to the effect, but Vol. III

Thus, Lukacs who was a philosopher & not an economist was forced to
leave matters abstract indeed singling out 12. 1947

Thus

1948 B

Silent counter-revolution

re Vol. III & back to fetishism

As JPS's Existentialism, so EM's mechanism & ritual repetition of all
eco. laws

Decline in R.P. Today's Global Crisis

stagnation, stagflation, multiplier, macro & micro economics,
all the facts are just so much helter skelter organic an EMPIRICISM

that you can talk of "end of recession", 1975, when in fact THEY CANNOT GET
OUT OF either decline in r. of p., or UNEMPLOYED ARMY's endless expansion,

Other "pencil - Concrete"

III. "NEW PASSIONS & NEW FORCES"

What has the MOVEMENT FROM Pr. MEANT THESE PAST 2 decades
SINGLING OUT DIALECTICS OF A.I. AS NEW BEGINNING, whether 1943 EG

or 1960s 1949-1950 the new General 2
of the USA
+ the EU TA/Pr

9 Pr. SAD P/08